

In re Joseph Cammarata

Related Cases: / Third Circuit Appeal Case

21-cr-427-CFK / 23-2110

21-cv-4845-CFK / 24-1381

22-cr-639-PGS / 24-1983

MOTION REQUESTING RELATED APPELLANT PANEL OF JUDGES AND EXPEDITED REVIEW OF
EMERGENCY PETITION

The petitioner, Joseph Cammarata, submits a motion in support of his petition for writ of mandamus to the U.S Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit. There is an extensive history of pro se motions and pending appeals in this Court. There is a pending counseled direct appeal of petitioner's criminal case 21-cr-427-CFK which is Third Circuit case #23-2110 and was argued by appellant counsel, Peter Goldberger on September 19th, 2024.

The esteemed panel were the honorable Circuit judges Mckee, Restrepo, and Smith, who had to perform a comprehensive review over an expansive base of subject matter in that case. All of the proceedings against the petitioner are interrelated and dependent on each other, with overlapping complex subject matter.

I. Petitioner respectfully requests this Court to consider the ends of justice and judicial economy to assign the attached petition for a writ of mandamus to the same Circuit judges. In addition to the lengthy analysis, they had to undergo to understand the complexities of trading technologies, foreign life insurance assets, and the assignment of trade rights, the business of securities class action settlements were critical to all the cases. If all the same Circuit judges are unable to review the mandamus petition, the petitioner requests that at least Judge Smith please

be considered, as he has the rare, but required understanding of class action settlement procedures and law. All three of the federal prosecutions against petitioner, rely entirely on knowledge of the securities class action settlement business and Judge Smith has taught class action law for over 15 years. This is precisely the way to remedy the dearth of any understanding of class action procedures and law that existed from the government's misguided theory of prosecution and insufficient evidence of any crime, to now be able to validate the petitioner's claims of innocence and enforce justice.

II. Petitioner also respectfully requests that this Court perform an emergency review of the petition for a writ of mandamus, based on an impending grand jury hearing on February 20th, 2025. The petitioner has demonstrated constitutional rights and pervasive misconduct in his petition, including denial of due process, lack of subject matter jurisdiction and the enforcement of an unlawful and expired asset freeze, which exposes the prosecuting attorneys to wasting 5 years and millions of taxpayer dollars for investigations and trials, to wrongfully convict and imprison an innocent man. In what appears to be a retaliatory act of malice, the same debauched DOJ prosecutors have recently served grand jury subpoenas to petitioner's younger brother (who has recently suffered a heart attack and two strokes), both his elderly parents (who are in their late 70's), and any friends that have helped with funding, obtaining legal representation, or media coverage. The petitioner pleads with this Court for an expedited review of his petition by February 20th, 2025, in an attempt to cease what purports to be another improper and vindictive indictment against Mr. Cammarata, based on a still invalid and unlawful asset freeze.

Another defective indictment brought against petitioner would compound the systematic violation of rights and dependencies on erroneous determinations of law, unless this Court can intervene by February 20th, 2025.

Thank you for consideration of these issues to protect the integrity of the appellate process and judicial efficiency.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Joseph Cammarata

Joseph Cammarata

January 27th, 2025